UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,576	12/30/2003	Jay R. Machael	77012-325124	8920
58506 FAEGRE & BI	7590 05/25/201 ENSON LLP	EXAMINER		
	KETING - INTELLEG	WHITE, RODNEY BARNETT		
2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-3901	3636		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

e-OfficeActionHNI@faegre.com djohnson2@faegre.com rhale@faegre.com

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/750,	576	MACHAEL ET AL	MACHAEL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit			
		Rodney	B. White	3636			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Moions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comported for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months apart term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF one of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. Eatutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNION event, however, may a solution will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1)⊠ F 2a)⊠ ⁻ 3)□ \$	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)☐ This action is for allowance exce	pt for formal matt	•	e merits is		
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 3-5,9-11 and 14-33 is/are part of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3-5,9-11 and 14-33 is/are part of claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the	rejected.	consideration.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 05/11/2010.	PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 05/11/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18, 3-6, 9-11, 14, 20-22, 28, 30-31, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Catelas in view of and Lin (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0232756 A1) and Heidmann (U.S. Patent NO. 6,616,228 B2).

Catelas teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-12 and specification) including a tiltable back, a rear support, either plate 8 or framework 5 and fluid containing cushion 9, the fluid containing cushion located forward of the rear support, which can be either plate 8 or framework 5, and wherein said fluid containing cushion is sized and dimensioned to be at least co-extensive with the area of a user's lumbar

region but it is not clear if reference number 18 in the Catelas reference is a seal along a top portion, bottom portion, the left side portion and the right side portion. Catelas also does not teach a rear support including a lumbar region defined by a curvature in the rear support, wherein the curvature conforms generally to a user's lumbar region. However, Lin teaches a fluid containing cushion that includes two layers sealed to form a vertically extending central chamber of generally constant width and vertically extending left and right side chambers, each of said chambers being completely sealed from one another, wherein said fluid containing cushion is hermetically sealed (See Fig. 4 and specification at column paragraph [0024]), wherein said fluid containing cushion has a lower region and an upper region, and said cushion comprises one or more channels extending between said lower region and said upper region, the channels being substantially vertical, (See Figures 3 and 5), wherein said fluid containing cushion is made of one or more plastic films selected from the group consisting of vinyl, polyurethanes, polyvinyl chlorides, ethylene vinyl acetates, urethane coated membranes, polyolefins, sarans, and engineered multi-layer films, wherein said seals are seal formed by a method selected from the group consisting of heat sealing, ultrasonic sealing, RF sealing, and adhesives wherein said fluid is selected from the group consisting of air, gas or gas mixtures, liquid, and flowable gel, wherein said cushion comprises a plurality of chambers extending from a lower region of the cushion to an upper region of the cushion, wherein said left side chamber is partially divided by a vertically directed seam; and said right side chamber is partially divided by a vertically directed seam, wherein said left side chamber includes a middle seam extending from

Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

said seal along said top portion of said cushion; and said right side chamber includes a middle seam extending from said seal along said top portion of said cushion, the layers of the fluid containing cushion are generally impermeable. Hiedamnn teaches a chair with an air bladder that also has a rear support 20B including a lumbar region defined by a curvature in the rear support, wherein the curvature conforms generally to a user's lumbar region. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to modify the chair, as taught by Catelas, to include the modifications, as taught by Lin and Heidmann, since such features would allow the chair, specifically the back of the chair, to be adjusted to accommodate a variety of users and provide greater comfort to a person sitting in the chair.

Page 4

Claims 20 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Catelas in view of Lin and Heidmann as applied to claims 18 and 21-22 above, and further in view of Linder (U.S. Patent No. 6,135,551).

Catelas in view of Lin and Heidmann teaches the structure substantially as claimed including that the layers of the fluid containing cushion are generally impermeable but does not teach that some of the seams that form the central chamber are straight, vertically extending seams. However, Linder teaches seams that are straight and vertically extending seams. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to modify the cushion, as taught by Catelas in view of Lin, to include seams that are straight and vertically extending seams, as taught by Linder,

since the type of seam used is dependent on the shape and contour desired by the manufacturer or consumer.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Catelas In view of Lin and Heidmann as applied to claims 18 and 21-22 above, and further in view of Jay (U.S. Patent No. 5,369,829).

Catelas in view of Lin and Heidmann teaches the structure substantially as claimed but does not teach that some of the seams have enlarged areas. However, Jay teaches seams with enlarged areas 39" and 36. it would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to modify the cushion, as taught by Catelas in view of Lin, to include seams with enlarged areas, as taught by Jay, since the enlarged areas of the seams would restrict the amounts of fluid into certain areas of the cushion and thereby reducing the volume of fluid filling material necessary to avoid over-filling certain areas of the cushion which would also reduce the weight of the cushion.

Claims 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Catelas in view of Lin and Heidmann as applied to claims 18 and 21-22 above, and further in view of Herring (U.S. Patent No. 5,634,685) and Chew et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,241,320 B1).

Catelas in view of Lin and Heidmann teaches the structure substantially as claimed but does not teach that the two layers of the fluid containing cushion includes

multiple layers. However, Herring and Chew et al teach a fluid filled cushion whose layers comprise multiple layers. it would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to modify the cushion, as taught by Catelas in view of Lin, to include a fluid filled cushion whose layers comprise multiple layers, as taught by Herring and Chew et al, since one layer could be made of a high strength material making the cushion more tear and puncture resistant or multiple layers could also be used to include a more attractive outer layer surrounding the inner, air-tight layer through a range of motion and providing passive automatically adjusted support of a user's lumbar region as said backrest tilts through its range of motion, according to the title and Abstract of the reference, an English version of which is provided with this office action.

Remarks

Regarding Claims 18 and 33, Applicant argues that Lin (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0232756 A1) does not teach a "central chamber of generally constant width". However, Applicant's Representative should know that the term "generally" does not mean that the width is exact, constant, or has a specific width and means that there could be some variation or deviation in the width. The term "generally" makes the claim or the limitation broader than what Applicant's Representative is arguing. The word "generally" is defined as ": in a **general** manner: as **a**: in disregard of specific instances and with regard to an overall picture". Therefore,

the slight variations in width of the central chamber of Lin still allows for a central chamber of "generally constant width".

Regarding Claim 30, Applicant argues that "Lin fails to teach or suggest "first and second *straight* vertical seams attaching said two layers." However, Applicant does not claim or define "first and second *straight* vertical seams attaching said two layers." In claim 30, Applicant only defines that the seams are "vertical" and there is no mention of the seams being "straight". Thus, the seams shown in Fig. 4 that appear to be labeled by reference number 213 are certainly "vertical". Applicant's Representative appears to argue a limitation or language that is not present in the claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,576 Page 8

Art Unit: 3636

6863.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney B. White whose telephone number is (571) 272-

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dunn David can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rodney B. White/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636 May 14, 2010